Date: _____

Apex Standards Pseudo Claim Charting

Company:	Contac	t:		_ Email:		
Jurisdiction: □ US □ EP □ C Attach separate sheets upon a		O Patent No	.:		Kind Code:	
Timeline: □ incredibly urgent	□ 72 hr □ 1 week	□ 2 weeks	□ 1 month	$\hfill\Box$ time permitting		
Special requests:						

· Attach separate sheets upon a batch order							
Timeline: □ incredibly urgent □ 72 hr □ 1 week □ 2 weeks □ 1 month □ time permitting							
Special requests:							
Standard Essentiality Analysis: Against Post Dated Standardization Documents	Invalidity Analysis: Against Prior Arts	Monetization Analysis: Against Goods/Services					
Unspecified	☐ Unspecified	☐ Unspecified					
GPP Unspecified GPP TS / Radio Access Network GPP TS / Falecom Services GPP TS / Security GPP TS / Security GPP TS / Security GPP TS / Mission Critical GPP TS / Mission Critical GPP TS / Infrastructure GPP TS / Broadband Audio Video Codec TS 26/46 Series GPP TS / Broadband Audio Video Codec TS 26/46 Series GPP TS / Broadband Audio Video Codec TS 26/46 Series GPP TS / Series / GPP TS / LTE UMTS GSM GSP GPP TS XX.XXX (specific) GPP TS XX.XXXX (specific) GPP TS XX.XXX (specific) GPP TS XX.XX (specific) GPP TS XX (specific) GPP TS XX (specific) GPP TS XX (specific) GPP TS XX (specific) GPP TS X	□ Patent Literature □ Patent Arts in the same □ jurisdiction □ Patent Arts across □ different Jurisdictions: □ US □ EP □ CN □ JP □ KR □ WO □ Non-Patent Literature □ Scientific Literature □ (Academic Publications) □ 3GPP TDoc Standardization Documents □ ETSI Standards Documents □ IEEE Standards Documents □ JCT-VC Video Coding Standardization Documents □ Other preferred prior arts □ □ Other preferred prior arts □ □ Semantic Mapping Suggestions □ Legal Clause Suggestions	Purpose Valuation Transaction Collateral Asset Tax Classification Out Licensing Technology Transfer Infringement Evaluation Industry against Company against Goods against Services against Methods Emerging Tech Application: Comparison against patents filed by VC-backed startups Seed / Series A B C Late-Stage/pre-IPO Vertical 3D Printing Advanced Manufacturing Apparel Tech Advertisement Precision Agriculture AI/Big Data IoT Robotics and Drones AR/VR Autonomous Driving Gig Economy Clean Tech Cloud/DevOps/SaaS Cybersecurity e-Health E-Commerce Education Tech Fintech Mortgage Tech Real Estate Tech Food Tech Gaming HR Tech Insurance Tech Lifestyle & Wellness Micro Mobility Nanotechnology Pet Tech Supply Chain Tech Tech/Media/Telecom (TMT) Travel Tech Wearable/Health Tech Other					



What is Pseudo Claim Charting (PCC)?

The promise of Apex Standards Pseudo Claim Charting (PCC) is not to replace expert opinion but to provide due diligence and transparency prior to high precision charting. PCC conducts aggressive mapping (based on Broadest Reasonable, Ordinary or Customary Interpretation and Multilingual Translation) between a target patent's claim elements and other documents (potential technical standard specification or prior arts in the same or across different jurisdictions), therefore allowing for a top-down, apriori evaluation, with which, stakeholders can assess standard essentiality (potential strengths) or invalidity (potential weaknesses) quickly and effectively before making complex, high-value decisions. PCC is designed to relieve initial burden of proof via an exhaustive listing of contextual semantic mapping as potential building blocks towards a negotiation-ready work product. Stakeholders may then use the mapping to modify upon shortlisted PCC or identify other relevant materials in order to formulate strategy and achieve further purposes.

What kind of problems does PCC solve?

The **First Order of Business** for any portfolio manager is to decide what patents to file an application for, what to keep or drop, what to buy or sell, what to use to align with business objectives, what to monetize, what to use as a defense, what to use to secure a preferred position and what to use as a bargaining chip.

PCC is designed to shed light along various stages of aforementioned decision making. With clarity, portfolio managers can thusly formulate better strategies backed by data. A popular **SWOT** analysis comes as follows:

Strengths	·Standard Essentiality ·Used by the Industry ·Emerging Tech ·Next Generation Tech ·Degree of Protection	Weaknesses	 Scope of protection covered by the claims Quality issues in the claim elements The ability to sustain invalidity challenges
Opportunities	 Investors love patents Monetization Transaction Financing Tax Credits Out Licensing Technology Transfer 	Threats	Recurring maintenance fees Consistency with dynamic business objectives, both short term and long term Technology landscape shifting Legal fees and lengthy processes for patent value communication due to lack of supporting evidence

Two sides of one coin. For a long time, the value of patents depends on the position one takes. For example, licensors (or plaintiffs, sellers) tend to emphasize strengths and overlook weaknesses of owned patents, while licensees (or defendants, buyers) focus on the opposite in order to keep a consistent position. If a portfolio manager can see through both sides of any patent, he or she will be able to negotiate more proactively and less reactively, therefore maximizing the return of R&D investments every step of the way.

How does the PCC process work?

Complete the form above and email it to <support@apexstandards.com>. The Apex Standards team will create a ticket and do homework for you. We will try to accommodate the timeline as indicated in your form and get back to you with our initial finding accompanied by sample charting, free of charge. If you feel happy with our homework and determine that a full-scale PCC is justified, we will prepare a formal quotation for you.

Does PCC suffice for a serious conversation regarding patent value?

Consider PCC as a pre-charting technology, whereby term mappings are crosswalked and building blocks are provided. With PCC, a stakeholder can assess success rate of the pursuit better and faster.

More than a hunch before one spends big.

Put simply, average intellectual property expense per case ranges between \$500K and \$2M USD just to get started. PCC serves as early-stage signals, with which, a pursuer has his or her claims backed up by supporting materials that may facilitate effective business conversation down the line.

Beyond PCC, we have a separate service line for high-precision, litigation ready charting that is offered on a customized, case-by-case basis. Consult <support@apexstandards.com>.

How is confidentiality handled?

Apex Standards has a boilerplate Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that strictly protects the privacy and interests of a client. If you or your organization have a preferred NDA to begin with, let us know.

References

PCC & Use Cases: https://www.apexstandards.com/apex.pcc.pdf

PCC Examples: https://www.apexstandards.com/pcc/

PCC Work Products for Unified Patents (Anti-TROLL Campaign): https://www.apexstandards.com/Unified/

PCC to support patent-standard essentiality and mapping: https://www.apexstandards.com/apex.landscaping.pdf

PCC to support global patent-standard landscaping: https://www.apexstandards.com/global.landscaping/